

**Problem 1** Show that  $A \wedge B$  is equivalent to  $\neg(\neg A \vee \neg B)$ .

**Problem 2** Show that  $(A \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)) \Rightarrow B$ .

The Greeks called this a “syllogism”. Here is a classical example: *Socrates is human. All humans are mortal. Thus Socrates is mortal.* ( $A$  is “ $x$  is human”,  $B$  is “ $x$  is mortal”.)

**Problem 3** Show that  $A \Rightarrow B$  is equivalent to  $\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$ .

**Problem 4** Let  $n$  be a natural number. Show: If  $n^2$  is even, then  $n$  is even. (Hint: Use the previous problem.)

**Problem 5** Show that the propositional forms  $A \Rightarrow B$  and  $\neg A \vee B$  are equivalent.

**Problem 6** Show that the propositional forms  $\neg(A \Rightarrow B)$  and  $A \wedge \neg B$  are equivalent.

**Problem 7** Problem 5 shows that the connective “ $\Rightarrow$ ” is superfluous in the following sense: Every instance of “ $\Rightarrow$ ” in a propositional form can be replaced by using “ $\neg$ ” and “ $\vee$ ” instead. Similarly Problem 1 shows that one can replace occurrences of “ $\wedge$ ” by using “ $\neg$ ” and “ $\vee$ ” instead.

Does one really need two connectives to represent the other familiar connectives? No, one connective suffices, albeit a different one:

We define a new connective NAND by declaring that  $P \text{ NAND } Q$  is equivalent to  $\neg(P \wedge Q)$ . Show that the propositional forms  $P \vee Q$ ,  $P \wedge Q$ ,  $\neg P$  and  $P \Rightarrow Q$  all have equivalent forms containing only instances of the “NAND”-connective.