$$s_n = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \dots + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots n}$$

$$< 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < 3,$$

the series converges, and the definition makes sense. In fact, the series converges very rapidly and allows us to compute e with great accuracy.

limit process; the proof provides a good illustration of operations with limits: It is of interest to note that e can also be defined by means of another

3.31 Theorem 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e.$$

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!}, \qquad t_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n.$$

By the binomial theorem,

$$t_n = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{3!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) \dots \left( 1 - \frac{n-1}{n} \right).$$

Hence  $t_n \leq s_n$ , so that

 $\limsup_{n\to\infty} t_n \le e,$ 

by Theorem 3.19. Next, if  $n \ge m$ ,

$$t_n \ge 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \dots + \frac{1}{m!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \dots \left( 1 - \frac{m-1}{n} \right)$$

Let  $n \to \infty$ , keeping m fixed. We get

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} t_n \ge 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{m!},$$

$$s_m \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} t_n$$
.

Letting  $m \to \infty$ , we finally get

$$e \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} t_n$$

(15)

The theorem follows from (14) and (15)

follows: If  $s_n$  has the same meaning as above, we have The rapidity with which the series  $\sum \frac{1}{n!}$  converges can be estimated as

$$e - s_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \frac{1}{(n+2)!} + \frac{1}{(n+3)!} + \cdots$$
$$< \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \cdots \right\} = \frac{1}{n!n}$$

so that

(16)

$$0 < e - s_n < \frac{1}{n!n}.$$

irrationality of e very easily. inequality (16) is of theoretical interest as well, since it enables us to prove the Thus  $s_{10}$ , for instance, approximates e with an error less than  $10^{-7}$ . The

### 3.32 Theorem e is irrational

integers. By (16), **Proof** Suppose e is rational. Then e = p/q, where p and q are positive

$$0 < q!(e - s_q) < \frac{1}{q}$$

By our assumption, q!e is an integer. Since

$$q!s_q = q!\left(1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{q!}\right)$$

is an integer, we see that  $q!(e-s_q)$  is an integer.

We have thus reached a contradiction. Since  $q \ge 1$ , (17) implies the existence of an integer between 0 and 1.

see page 25 of Niven's book, or page 176 of Herstein's, cited in the Bibliography. Actually, e is not even an algebraic number. For a simple proof of this,

## THE ROOT AND RATIO TESTS

3.33 Theorem (Root Test) Given  $\sum a_n$ , put  $\alpha = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$ .

- (a) if  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\Sigma a_n$  converges; (b) if  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\Sigma a_n$  diverges:
- if  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\Sigma a_n$  diverges;
- if  $\alpha = 1$ , the test gives no information.

$$\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < \beta$$

for  $n \ge N$  [by Theorem 3.17(b)]. That is,  $n \ge N$  implies

$$|a_n| < \beta^n$$
.

the comparison test Since  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $\Sigma \beta^n$  converges. Convergence of  $\Sigma a_n$  follows now from

If  $\alpha > 1$ , then, again by Theorem 3.17, there is a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such

$$\sqrt[n_k]{|a_{n_k}|} \to \alpha.$$

 $a_n \to 0$ , necessary for convergence of  $\Sigma a_n$ , does not hold (Theorem 3.23) Hence  $|a_n| > 1$  for infinitely many values of n, so that the condition

To prove (c), we consider the series

$$\sum \frac{1}{n}$$
,  $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ .

For each of these series  $\alpha = 1$ , but the first diverges, the second converges

# **3.34** Theorem (Ratio Test) The series $\sum a_n$

(a) converges if 
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| < 1$$
,

(b) diverges if 
$$\left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \ge 1$$
 for  $n \ge n_0$ , where  $n_0$  is some fixed integer.

**Proof** If condition (a) holds, we can find  $\beta < 1$ , and an integer N, such

$$\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right| < \beta$$

for  $n \ge N$ . In particular

$$|a_{N+1}| < \beta |a_N|,$$
  
 $|a_{N+2}| < \beta |a_{N+1}| < \beta^2 |a_N|,$   
 $...$   
 $|a_{N+p}| < \beta^p |a_N|.$ 

That is,

$$|a_n| < |a_N| \beta^{-N} \cdot \beta^n$$

does not hold, and (b) follows. for  $n \ge N$ , and (a) follows from the comparison test, since  $\Sigma \beta^n$  converges. If  $|a_{n+1}| \ge |a_n|$  for  $n \ge n_0$ , it is easily seen that the condition  $a_n \to 0$ 

Note: The knowledge that  $\lim a_{n+1}/a_n = 1$  implies nothing about the convergence of  $\Sigma a_n$ . The series  $\Sigma 1/n$  and  $\Sigma 1/n^2$  demonstrate this.

#### 3.35 Examples

(a) Consider the series

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{3^3} + \frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{3^4} + \cdots,$$

for which

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \sqrt[n]{a_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n \sqrt{\frac{1}{3^n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{a_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n \sqrt{\frac{1}{2^n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n = +\infty.$$

The root test indicates convergence; the ratio test does not apply.

(b) The same is true for the series

$$\frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{128} + \frac{1}{64} + \cdots,$$

where

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{1}{8},$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 2,$$

but

$$\lim \sqrt[n]{a_n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

since it is usually easier to compute ratios than nth roots. However, the root above examples. test is too. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.37, and is illustrated by the gence, the root test does too; whenever the root test is inconclusive, the ratio test has wider scope. More precisely: Whenever the ratio test shows conver-3.36 Remarks The ratio test is frequently easier to apply than the root test,

divergence from the fact that  $a_n$  does not tend to zero as  $n \to \infty$ . Neither of the two tests is subtle with regard to divergence. Both deduce

**3.37 Theorem** For any sequence  $\{c_n\}$  of positive numbers,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n}\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{c_n},$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n} \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n}.$$

quite similar. Put Proof We shall prove the second inequality; the proof of the first is

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n}.$$

is an integer N such that If  $\alpha = +\infty$ , there is nothing to prove. If  $\alpha$  is finite, choose  $\beta > \alpha$ . There

$$\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} \le \beta$$

for  $n \ge N$ . In particular, for any p > 0,

$$c_{N+k+1} \le \beta c_{N+k}$$
  $(k = 0, 1, ..., p-1).$ 

Multiplying these inequalities, we obtain

$$c_{N+p} \leq \beta^p c_N,$$

2

$$c_n \le c_N \beta^{-N} \cdot \beta^n \qquad (n \ge N).$$

Hence

$$\sqrt[n]{c_n} \leq \sqrt[n]{c_N \beta^{-N}} \cdot \beta,$$

so that

(81)

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n} \le \beta,$$

by Theorem 3.20(b). Since (18) is true for every  $\beta > \alpha$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n} \le \alpha.$$

#### POWER SERIES

**3.38 Definition** Given a sequence  $\{c_n\}$  of complex numbers, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^{-1}$$

z is a complex number. is called a power series. The numbers  $c_n$  are called the coefficients of the series;

not be described so simply. zero). The behavior on the circle of convergence is much more varied and canplane as the interior of a circle of infinite radius, and a point as a circle of radius and diverges if z is in the exterior (to cover all cases, we have to consider the circle of convergence, such that (19) converges if z is in the interior of the circle of z. More specifically, with every power series there is associated a circle, the In general, the series will converge or diverge, depending on the choice

3.39 **Theorem** Given the power series  $\sum c_n z^n$ , put

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|c_n|}, \qquad R = \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

(If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $R = +\infty$ ; if  $\alpha = +\infty$ , R = 0.) Then  $\sum c_n z^n$  converges if |z| < R, and diverges if |z| > R.

**Proof** Put  $a_n = c_n z^n$ , and apply the root test:

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = |z| \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{|c_n|} = \frac{|z|}{R}.$$

*Note:* R is called the radius of convergence of  $\sum c_n z^n$ 

#### 3.40 Examples

- (a) The series  $\sum n^n z^n$  has R = 0.
- apply than the root test.) (b) The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} has R = +\infty$ . (In this case the ratio test is easier to