

## 2 The Integers

**Definition.** Integers can be written as differences of natural numbers. The set of integers  $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, 3, -3, \dots\}$  will therefore be defined as certain equivalence classes of the two-fold Cartesian product of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We define a relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ if and only if } a + d = b + c.$$

The next three tasks show that “ $\sim$ ” defines an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ :

### Task 2.1

“ $\sim$ ” is reflexive.

### Task 2.2

“ $\sim$ ” is symmetric<sup>12</sup>.

### Task 2.3

“ $\sim$ ” is transitive.

We will denote equivalence classes as follows:

$$(a, b)_\sim := \{(c, d) \mid (c, d) \sim (a, b)\}.$$

The set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all equivalence classes obtained in this manner:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{(a, b)_\sim \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

---

<sup>12</sup>A relation  $\sim$  on  $A$  is called *symmetric*, if for all  $a, b \in A$  the following holds:  $a \sim b$  implies  $b \sim a$ .

**Addition** of integers will be defined component-wise:

$$(a, b)_{\sim} + (c, d)_{\sim} = (a + c, b + d)_{\sim}.$$

A set  $G$  with a binary operation  $\star$  is called an *Abelian group* if  $\star$  is commutative and associative, if  $(A, \star)$  has a neutral element  $n$  satisfying  $g \star n = g$  for all  $g \in G$ , and if  $(A, \star)$  has inverse elements, i.e., for all  $g \in G$  there is an  $h \in G$  satisfying  $g \star h = n$ .

The next five tasks will show that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an Abelian group with respect to addition.

#### **Task 2.4**

Show that the addition of integers is well-defined (i.e. independent of the chosen representatives of the equivalence classes).

#### **Task 2.5**

Show that the addition of integers is commutative.

#### **Task 2.6**

Show that the addition of integers is associative.

#### **Task 2.7**

Show that the addition of integers has  $(0, 0)_{\sim}$  as its neutral element.

#### **Task 2.8**

Show that for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  the following holds:  $(a, b)_{\sim} + (b, a)_{\sim} = (0, 0)_{\sim}$ . Thus every element in  $\mathbb{Z}$  has an additive inverse element.

**Task 2.9**

1. The map  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $\phi(n) = (n, 0)_\sim$  is injective.
2. For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following holds:  $\phi(m) + \phi(n) = \phi(m + n)$ .

From now on we will **identify**  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\phi(\mathbb{N})$ . For instance for  $(k, 0)_\sim$ , we write  $k = (k, 0)_\sim$ , and consequently  $-k = (0, k)_\sim$ .

**Task 2.10**

Define integer multiplication and show that the multiplication is well-defined.

**Task 2.11**

Show that  $1 = (1, 0)_\sim$  is the neutral element with respect to multiplication.

It is not hard to show that multiplication is commutative and associative. Moreover the distributive law holds in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Task 2.12**

With  $\phi$  as defined in Task 2.9, show that

$$\phi(m) \cdot \phi(n) = \phi(m \cdot n).$$

Last not least we will define a relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as follows:

$$m \leq n \text{ if and only if } n + (-m) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Task 2.13**

Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(a, b)_{\sim} \leq (c, d)_{\sim}$  if and only if there is a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(a + k, b) \sim (c, d).$$

The next four tasks show that “ $\leq$ ” is a **total order** on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

**Task 2.14**

Show that “ $\leq$ ” is reflexive on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Task 2.15**

Show that “ $\leq$ ” is anti-symmetric on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Task 2.16**

Show that “ $\leq$ ” is transitive on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Task 2.17**

$m \leq n$  or  $n \leq m$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Task 2.18**

If  $m \leq n$ , then  $m + k \leq n + k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Task 2.19**

If  $m \leq n$  and  $0 \leq k$ , then  $m \cdot k \leq n \cdot k$ .

**Task 2.20**

With  $\phi$  as defined in Task 2.9 and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , show that  $m \leq n$  if and only if  $\phi(m) \leq \phi(n)$ .

### 3 The Rational Numbers

Once again we define the next larger set as certain equivalence classes. On  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , we define a relation  $\cong$  as follows:

$$(a, b) \cong (c, d) \text{ if and only if } a \cdot d = b \cdot c.$$

We write equivalence classes in the familiar way

$$\frac{a}{b} = \{(c, d) \mid (c, d) \cong (a, b)\},$$

and denote the rational numbers by

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

For integers  $n$  we write  $n$  instead of  $\frac{n}{1}$ .

We define addition and multiplication by

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}.$$

Additionally, we define an order on  $\mathbb{Q}$  as follows:

$$0 \leq \frac{a}{b} \text{ if and only if } (0 \leq a \text{ and } 0 < b) \text{ or } (a \leq 0 \text{ and } b < 0).$$

For  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we write  $p \leq q$  if  $0 \leq q - p$ .<sup>13</sup> We also write  $q \geq p$  when  $p \leq q$ .

With these arithmetic operations and the order above, the set of rational numbers becomes an **ordered field**:

**Theorem 3.1.**  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, \leq)$  has the following properties:

1.  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$  is an Abelian group with neutral element 0.
2.  $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  is an Abelian group with neutral element 1.
3.  $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$ .
4.  $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  is a total order.
5. (a)  $a \leq b$  implies  $a + c \leq b + c$  for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ .  
(b)  $a \leq b$  implies  $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $0 \leq c$ .

Let us write  $a < b$  if  $a \leq b$  and  $a \neq b$ . We will say that  $a$  is *positive*, if  $a > 0$ . Similarly,  $a$  is called *negative*, if  $-a > 0$ .

#### Task 3.1

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and assume  $a > b$  and  $b > 0$ . Then  $a^2 > b^2$ .

<sup>13</sup>Subtraction is defined as  $\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad - bc}{bd}$ .

**Task 3.2**

$\mathbb{Q}$  is *dense in itself*: For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a < b$  there is a  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a < c < b$ .