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## 1 The space $L^{2}([0,1))$ and its scalar product

We will denote by $L^{2}([0,1))$ the vector space of all functions $f:[0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)|^{2} d x<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $L^{2}([0,1))$ one can define a SCALAR PRODUCT as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<f, g>=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \cdot g(x) d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the case of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the scalar product automatically defines a NORM on $L^{2}([0,1))$ via the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|=\sqrt{<f, f>}=\sqrt{\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)|^{2} d x} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we say that a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ of functions in $L^{2}([0,1))$ converges to a function $f(x) \in$ $L^{2}([0,1))$ In THE $L^{2}$-SENSE, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Orthonormal sets

We say that a set $B$ of elements in $L^{2}([0,1))$ is an orthonormal set, if the scalar product of each element in $B$ with itself equals 1, and the scalar product of two different elements in $B$ is equal to 0 :

1. $\langle f, f\rangle=1 \quad$ for all $f \in B$

[^0]$$
\text { 2. }<f, g>=0 \quad \text { for all } f, g \in B \text { satisfying } f \neq g
$$

An orthonormal set $B$ is automatically linearly independent. ${ }^{2}$ Our ultimate goal will be to find a particular orthonormal set $B=\left\{f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots\right\}$ such that we can approximate every function $f(x) \in L^{2}([0,1))$ by linear combinations of the elements in $B$; more precisely, given $f(x) \in L^{2}([0,1))$, we will be able to find scalars $\left(a_{k}\right)$ such that the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} f_{k}(x)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges to $f(x)$ in the $L^{2}$-sense. ${ }^{3}$

## 3 The Haar scaling function

We denote by $\phi(x)$ the following function:

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x \in[0,1)  \tag{6}\\ 0, & \text { if } x<0 \text { or } x \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

$\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the HaAR scaling function, or the Haar "father" wavelet. Throughout we will identify $\phi(x)$ with its restriction to $[0,1)$.

Let $V_{0}$ denote the one-dimensional vector space spanned by $\phi(x)$; this is nothing else but the set of all functions constant on $[0,1)$ (and vanishing elsewhere).

Next we consider the functions $2^{1 / 2} \phi(2 x)$ and $2^{1 / 2} \phi(2 x-1)$. They span a two-dimensional vector space, denoted by $V_{1}$, consisting of all functions on $[0,1)$ that are constant both on $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and on $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Note that $V_{0} \subset V_{1}$.

[^1]Then for any $k$ with $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$

$$
<a_{1} g_{1}+a_{2} g_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} g_{n}, g_{k}>=<0, g_{k}>=0
$$

On the other hand,

$$
<a_{1} g_{1}+a_{2} g_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} g_{n}, g_{k}>=a_{1}<g_{1}, g_{k}>+a_{2}<g_{2}, g_{k}>+\cdots+a_{n}<g_{n}, g_{k}>=a_{k}<g_{k}, g_{k}>=a_{k}
$$

So $a_{k}=0$ for all $k$.
${ }^{3}$ We basically already know one example of such a set: It is known that the set

$$
F=\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos (x), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos (2 x), \ldots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin (x), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin (2 x), \ldots\right\}
$$

forms an orthonormal set with which we can approximate all elements in $L^{2}([-\pi, \pi])$ in this fashion.


Figure 1: The Haar scaling function $\phi(x)$


Figure 2: The Haar scaling function $\phi(x)$ restricted to $[0,1)$

Continuing in this fashion, we can define a $2^{j}$-dimensional vector space $V_{j}$, spanned by the functions

$$
2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x\right), 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-1\right), \ldots, 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-\left(2^{j}-1\right)\right) .
$$

The vector space $V_{j}$ consists of all functions on $[0,1)$ that are constant on intervals of the form $\left[k 2^{-j},(k+1) 2^{-j}\right)$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots 2^{j}-1$. Figure 5 shows the function $2^{3 / 2} \phi\left(2^{3} x-5\right)$ contained in $V_{3}$. We have $V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{j} \subset \cdots$.

You should have wondered by now why the factor $2^{j / 2}$ is included. The answer is straightforward: this way the functions form an orthonormal set!

## Exercise 1

Show that the set $\left\{2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x\right), 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-1\right), \ldots, 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-\left(2^{j}-1\right)\right)\right\}$ forms an orthonormal set of functions in the vector space $V_{j}$.


Figure 3: The function $2^{1 / 2} \phi(2 x)$


Figure 4: The function $2^{1 / 2} \phi(2 x-1)$

## 4 Using $V_{j}$ to approximate functions in $L^{2}([0,1))$

A function $f \in V_{j}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a_{0} 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x\right)+a_{1} 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-1\right)+\cdots+a_{2^{j}-1} 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-\left(2^{j}-1\right)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions on the right side form an orthonormal set, the coefficients $a_{k}$ are given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=<f(x), 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-k\right)>=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \cdot 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-k\right) d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Exercise 2

Take the scalar product with $2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-k\right)$ on both sides of (7) to verify Formula (8).


Figure 5: The function $2^{3 / 2} \phi\left(2^{3} x-5\right)$


Figure 6: Approximating a function by an element in $V_{4}$
The same formula for the coefficients can be used to approximate functions in $L^{2}([0,1))$ by a function in $V_{j}$. Let $f(x)$ be a function in $L^{2}([0,1))$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(x)=a_{0} 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x\right)+a_{1} 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-1\right)+\cdots+a_{2^{j}-1} 2^{j / 2} \phi\left(2^{j} x-\left(2^{j}-1\right)\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{k}$ are computed via Formula (8).
Alfred Haar (1885-1933) showed in 1910 that, if $f(x)$ is continuous, the sequence $\left(f_{j}(x)\right)$ converges to $f(x)$ uniformly. If, on the other hand, $f(x) \in L^{2}([0,1))$, then

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-f_{j}\right\|=0
$$

Figures 6 and 7 show the approximation of a function (dashed line) by an element in $V_{4}$ and $V_{7}$, respectively (solid line).


Figure 7: Approximating a function by an element in $V_{7}$
While we have found nice orthonormal bases for all the vector spaces $V_{j}$, we still fall short of our goal: If we take two basis elements from different $V_{j}$ 's, their scalar product will not necessarily equal zero, because the intervals where the basis elements are equal to 1 may overlap.

## 5 The Haar wavelet

Let's see whether we can remedy this deficiency step by step. We want to find a function $\psi(x)$ in $V_{1}$, such that the linear combinations of $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ span the vector space $V_{1}$, and such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $\langle\phi, \psi\rangle=0$
2. $\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=1$

Since $\psi \in V_{1}$ we can find scalars $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=a_{1} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x)+a_{2} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x-1) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\phi(2 x)+\phi(2 x-1) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10) and (11), the first condition becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\phi, \psi>=<\phi(2 x)+\phi(2 x-1), a_{1} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x)+a_{2} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x-1)>=a_{1} / \sqrt{2}+a_{2} / \sqrt{2}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $a_{2}=-a_{1}$. The second condition yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\psi, \psi>=<a_{1} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x)+a_{2} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x-1), a_{1} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x)+a_{2} \sqrt{2} \phi(2 x-1)>=a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (12) and (13) for $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, we obtain ${ }^{4}$

$$
\psi(x)=\phi(2 x)-\phi(2 x-1)=\left\{\begin{align*}
1, & \text { if } x \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{14}\\
-1, & \text { if } x \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The function $\psi(x)$ is called the HaAr "mother" wavelet; its graph is depicted in Fig-


Figure 8: The Haar mother wavelet $\psi(x)$
ure 8.
We will denote the vector space spanned by the function $\psi(x)$ as $W_{0}$. It is customary to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=V_{0} \oplus W_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the symbol $\oplus$ is used to indicate that each element in $V_{1}$ can be written in a unique way as the sum of an element in $V_{0}$ and an element in $W_{0}$ and that the scalar product of any element in $V_{0}$ with any element in $W_{0}$ equals zero.

## Exercise 3

Show that the functions $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x)$ and $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x-1)$ are elements in $V_{2}$.

[^2]
## Exercise 4

Show that the set $\{\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x), \sqrt{2} \psi(2 x-1)\}$ forms an orthonormal set.

## Exercise 5

Show that $<\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x), f(x)>=0$ for all functions $f(x) \in V_{1}$. (The same result holds for $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x-1)$.)

These two functions are shown in Figures 9 and 10, respectively.


Figure 9: The function $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x)$ in $W_{1}$
Let's denote the vector space spanned by $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x)$ and $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x-1)$ as $W_{1}$. The three exercises above show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}=V_{1} \oplus W_{1}=V_{0} \oplus W_{0} \oplus W_{1}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

meaning once again that each element in $V_{2}$ can be written in a unique way as the sum of an element in $V_{1}$ and an element in $W_{1}$ and that the scalar product of any element in $V_{1}$ with any element in $W_{1}$ equals zero.

Continuing in this fashion, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{j+1}=V_{j} \oplus W_{j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{j}$ is the vector space spanned by the functions

$$
2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x\right), 2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x-1\right), \ldots, 2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x-\left(2^{j}-1\right)\right)
$$



Figure 10: The function $\sqrt{2} \psi(2 x-1)$ in $W_{1}$

The formula

$$
V_{j}=V_{0} \oplus W_{0} \oplus W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{j-1}
$$

is called Multi-Resolution Analysis.

## 6 A discrete example

A function in $V_{4}$ is determined by its 16 coefficients. Suppose the vector of coefficients is $(180,167,244,190,159,242,176,192,168,250,175,219,193,232,200,234)$

The corresponding function is shown in Figure 11. Note that the coefficients are multiplied by the factor 4 along the way. How can we write this function as a sum of a function in $V_{3}$


Figure 11: A function in $V_{4}$
and a function in $W_{3}$ ? Let's start with the component in $V_{3}$. Since for $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, 7\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.4 \phi(16 x-2 k)+4 \phi(16 x-(2 k+1))=2^{1 / 2} \cdot 2^{3 / 2} \phi(8 x-k)\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that the coefficient $b_{k}$ of the function in $V_{3}$ is given for $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, 7\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=\frac{a_{2 k}+a_{2 k+1}}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th coefficient of the function in $V_{4}$. In other words, we obtain the vector representing the function in $V_{3}$ by multiplying the vector in (18) by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{21}\\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In our particular case, the vector (rounded to the nearest integer) representing the function in $V_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(245,307,284,260,296,279,301,307) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 12 shows the function in $V_{4}$ and its "blurry" counterpart in $V_{3}$.
What about the component of our function in $W_{3}$ ? Since for $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, 7\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.4 \phi(16 x-2 k)-4 \phi(16 x-(2 k+1))=2^{1 / 2} \cdot 2^{3 / 2} \psi(8 x-k)\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain that the coefficient $c_{k}$ of the function in $W_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\frac{a_{2 k}-a_{2 k+1}}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, again, $a_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th coefficient of the function in $V_{4}$. In other words, this time we obtain the vector representing the function in $W_{3}$ by multiplying the vector in (18) by


Figure 12: A function in $V_{4}$ (black) and its "orthogonal projection" onto $V_{3}$ (dashed)
the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{25}\\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In our particular case, the vector (rounded to the nearest integer) representing the function in $W_{3}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(9,38,-59,-11,-58,-31,-28,-24) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 13 shows this as a function in $W_{3}$.
If we are "joining" the vectors in (22) and (26), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(245,307,284,260,296,279,301,307,9,38,-59,-11,-58,-31,-28,-24) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since our function in $V_{4}$ is the sum of its orthogonal projections onto $V_{3}$ and $W_{3}$, we will be able to retrieve the vector in (18) from the vector (27). The cumulative energy plots of


Figure 13: The "orthogonal projection" of our function onto $W_{3}$ (dashed)
both vectors are shown in Figure 14, indicating that the vector in (27) has a higher energy concentration than the original vector (18) and thus may be considered as a compressed version of the vector in (18).


Figure 14: The original vector (18) is shown dashed, while vector (27) is depicted solid.

## Exercise 6

This section has shown how to compute vector (27) from vector (18). Can we reverse
the procedure? Suppose our procedure produces as the vector in (27)

$$
(200,350,351,130,115,215,122,308,15,35,47,23,-12,-32,67,-23)
$$

What does the corresponding original vector (18) look like?

## 7 Concluding Remarks

We have outlined a general procedure: (1) start with a father wavelet $\phi(x),(2)$ construct an increasing sequence of vector spaces

$$
V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset \cdots \subset V_{j} \subset \cdots
$$

capable of approximating functions in $L^{2}([0,1))$, (3) construct the corresponding mother wavelet, and (4) ultimately produce a multi-resolution analysis

$$
V_{j}=V_{0} \oplus W_{0} \oplus W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{j-1}
$$

Our choice for $\phi(x)$ was the constant 1 . As you will see later in the course, in the 1980's other possible candidates emerged.

Acknowledgment. This exposition is based on material in A First Course in Wavelets with Fourier Analysis by Albert Boggess \& Francis J. Narcowich.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ More precisely: those (equivalence classes of) measurable functions on $[0,1$ ) whose square is Lebesgueintegrable. Since we will ultimately be interested in the discrete case anyway, you can just think of bounded piecewise-continuous functions with the Riemann integral.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Indeed assume that for some real numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and some distinct elements $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}$ in $B$,

    $$
    a_{1} g_{1}(x)+a_{2} g_{2}(x)+\cdots+a_{n} g_{n}(x)=0 \text { for all } x
    $$

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ There are actually two solutions; it suffices for us to consider the solution for which $a_{1}>0$. Why?

